Research Strategy

- General approach and goals of a study
  - Dictated by question
  - E.g.
    1. Is there a relationship between quality of a child’s breakfast and academic performance?
    2. Does improving the quality of a child’s breakfast cause an improvement in the level of the child’s academic performance?

Experimental Research Strategy

- Designed to answer cause and effect questions about the relationships between two variables
- Conducted with rigorous control
- E.g. Low and high quality breakfast (IV) and academic performance (DV)

Quasi-Experimental Research Strategy

- Designed to address cause and effect questions about the relationships between two variables
- Use some of rigor and control of true experiments but contain flaw that prevents absolute determination of cause
- E.g. compare pre-term and full-term infants in attachment with parents – can’t determine that being born full-term CAUSES more secure attachment
Non-experimental Research Strategy
- Designed to answer questions about the relationship between two variables by demonstrating the difference between two groups or two treatment conditions
- Don't use rigor and control
- Do not produce cause and effect explanations
  - E.g. same question – but less control over extraneous variables like health, size of baby, SES, age of parents etc.

Correlational Research Strategy
- Designed to answer questions about the existence of a relationship between two variables
- Can only describe, NOT explain relationship
- E.g. family income and academic performance
- Or mood and weight, success & esteem

Descriptive Research Strategy
- Designed to answer questions about the current state of individual variables for a specific group of individuals
- Not concerned with relationship between variables
- E.g. different amounts spent on eating out, entertainment and clothing in different neighborhoods

Two Research Strategy Classification Scheme
- Experimental vs. non-experimental or non-manipulative research
- Only Experimental strategy determines causal relationships
Validity

- "the quality or state of being true"
  - Dictionary definition

- The degree to which the study accurately answers the question it was intended to answer

- The correctness or truth of an inference
  - Research definitions

Threat to Validity

- Any factor that raises doubts about the quality of the research process or the accuracy of the results

Internal Validity: Evaluating Your Experiment from the Inside

- Internal Validity
  - Question of whether your IV actually caused any change that you observe in your DV.
  - Single unambiguous explanation for the relationship between two variables
    - If you use adequate control techniques, your experiment should be free from confounding (alternative explanations for your results) and you can, indeed, conclude that your IV caused the change in your DV.

Threats to Internal Validity

- Any factor that allows for an alternative explanation

  - E.g. Extraneous Variables
    - Additional variables not directly investigated
    - E.g. weather, light, time of day etc.

  - Confounding Variables
    - An extraneous variable that changes systematically along with the variables being studied
    - E.g. increasing temperature along with time (fatigue) in study of problem-solving
Threats to Internal Validity

1. Environmental Variables
   - Threats to all studies
   - Size of room, time of day, temperature etc.
   - Coke vs. Pepsi study example

2. Threats to Studies Comparing Different Groups
   - Assignment Bias
     - Process used to assign different participants to different treatments produces groups of individuals with noticeably different characteristics

Selection
- If we choose participants in such a way that our groups are not equal before the experiment, we cannot be certain that our IV caused any difference we observe after the experiment.

Interactions with Selection
- Interactions with selection can occur when the groups we have selected show differences on another variable (i.e., maturation, history, or instrumentation) that vary systematically by groups

Mortality/Attrition
- Mortality can occur if experimental participants from different groups drop out of the experiment at different rates.
Threats to Internal Validity

- Diffusion or Imitation of Treatments
  - can occur if participants in one treatment group become familiar with the treatment of another group and copy that treatment.

Threats to Internal Validity

3. Threats to Internal Validity for Studies comparing One Group Over Time
   - Other time-related variables could be confounding variables
     - E.g. daylight, temperature

Time-Related Threats to Internal Validity

- History
  - History refers to events that occur between the DV measurements in a repeated measures design
  - E.g. 1940 Nazi propaganda experiment
  - Longitudinal attitude measurements

Time-Related Threats to Internal Validity

- Maturation
  - Maturation refers to systematic changes in participants’ physiology or psychology that occur over time during an experiment
  - E.g. fatigue, boredom
Time-Related Threats to Internal Validity

- Instrumentation
  - Instrumental bias or instrumental decay
  - Changes in a measuring instrument that occur over time
  - Behavioral observations most susceptible
    - Observers may become more skilled or fatigued

- Testing Effects
  - Testing is a threat to internal validity that occurs because measuring the DV causes a change in the DV.
    - If your attention is being explicitly measured, you may be more attentive than you would be otherwise

- Practice Effect
  - A practice effect is a beneficial effect on a DV measurement caused by previous experience with the DV
  - E.g. taking the GREs or SATs a second time

- Carry-over effects
  - Specific treatments cause changes in the next treatment(s) and alter the participants' score
  - NOTE: caused by experiencing a SPECIFIC treatment
Time-Related Threats to Internal Validity

- Regression toward the Mean
  - = Statistical Regression
  - The tendency for extreme scores on any measurement to move toward the mean (regress) when measurement is repeated
  - Stable factors remain constant but unstable factors can change substantially
  - Esp. a problem when participants selected for extremely high or low scores

Protecting Internal Validity

- How Important is Internal Validity?
  - It is the most important property of any experiment.
  - If you do not concern yourself with the internal validity of your experiment, you are wasting your time.

External Validity

- The extent to which we can generalize the results of the study to other populations, settings, times, measures etc.
- Would the same results be obtained under different conditions?

Threats to External Validity

- Any characteristic of the study that limits the generality of the results
- 3 Types of Generalization:
  1. From a sample to the general population
  2. From one research study to another
  3. From a research study to a real world situation
Threats to External Validity

1. Generalizing across Participants
   - Can results be generalized to individuals who differ from research participants
     - E.g. testing white middle-class children in urban area
     - Cross-species generalizations
   - Selection Bias
     - Sampling procedure favors selection of some participants over others
       - E.g. convenience of college undergraduates
       - Volunteer bias
       - Participant characteristics

2. Generalizing Across Features of a Study
   - To what extent can results be generalized to other procedures for conducting the study?
     - Novelty Effect
       - Behaviors of individuals in research study might differ from their behavior in real world due to novelty of test situation and salience of treatment conditions

Solutions to Reactivity

- Non-reactive methods
  - Doesn't alter participant’s response
  - E.g. one-way mirrors, hidden cameras and microphones, naturalistic observation, deception etc.
  - Less of an issue in field vs. laboratory settings
Threats to External Validity

- Multiple Treatment Interference
  - The potential influence of experience in earlier treatments
  - Can the results of the treatment be generalized to those who have not received earlier treatments?

- Experimenter Characteristics
  - To what extent can the results of the study be generalized to other experimenters?
  - Demographic and personality variables

3. Generalizing Across Features of the Measures

- To what extent can the results of the study be generalized to other ways of measuring in the study?

- Assessment Sensitization
  - Participants react differently to treatment b/c of the process of measurement
  - Pre-test may make participants more aware of their own attitudes or behaviors

Generality across Response Measures

- E.g. Physiological versus behavioral measures of a phobia – treatment can affect one but not the other

- Time of Measurement
Threats to both Internal & External Validity

- Artifacts
  - A non-natural feature accidentally introduced into something being observed
    - dictionary
  - An external factor that may influence or distort the measurements
    - Experimental
  - E.g. A Dr.’s ice-cold stethoscope

Artifacts cont.

- Experimenter Expectancy
  - Experimenter’s beliefs or expectations regarding the outcome of the study influence the results of the study
  - Paralinguistic cues
  - Kinesthetic cues
  - Verbal reinforcement
  - Misjudgment of participants’ response in direction of desired or expected results
  - Errors in recording participants’ response in direction of desired or expected results

Artifacts cont.

- Demand Characteristics
  - Cues from the research situation that make it obvious what the purpose and hypothesis of the study is, and may influence participants to respond or behave in a particular way

Subject Roles

- Good Subject
  - Attempt to corroborate experimenter’s hypothesis
- Negativistic Subject
  - Attempt to refute experimenter’s hypothesis
- Faithful Subject
  - Follow instructions and avoid acting on suspicions
- Apprehensive Subject
  - Overly concerned with their performance evaluations
Exaggerated Variables

- Maximize differences for one of the variables (between treatment conditions) to increase likelihood of revealing relationship with second variable

Research Design

- How to implement the study – general design
  - Group vs. Individual
  - Same vs. different individuals
  - Number of variables to include

Research Procedures

- Exact, step-by-step description of a specific research study
- How will variables be manipulated, regulated and measured
- How many individuals involved
- How participants proceed through study